IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 22ND NOVEMBER 2006 / 1ST AGRAHAYANA 1928

W.P.(C).No. 30871 of 2006(A)

PETITIONERS:

1. ROHITH R., S/O.REGHUNANDANAN,
PULAVATHIL HOUSE, SREE SAILAM, EROOR P.O.,
TRIPUNITHURA. (ROLL NO.37, LL.B IVTH SEMESTER,
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE, ERNAKULAM).

2. PRANEETH R.K., S/O.P.V.RAMAKRISHNAN,
NAVANEETHAM, NEAR N.S. THEATRE, KANNUR-2.
(ROLL NO.30, LL.B IVTH SEMESTER, GOVERNMENT LAW
COLLEGE, ERNAKULAM).

BY ADV. SRI.C.A.CHACKO
SMT.C.M.CHARISMA
SRI.N.A.SHAFEEK

RESPONDENTS:

1. M.G. UNIVERSITY,
ATHIRAMPUZHA, KOTTAYAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS
REGISTRAR.

2. THE CONROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
M.G. UNIVERSITY, ATHIRAMPUZHA, KOTTAYAM.

3. THE PRINCIPAL,
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE, ERNAKULAM.

BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

- -

W.P.(C)NO.30871 OF 2006

- -

Dated this the 22nd day of November 2006

JUDGMENT

Petitioners are Law College students who have approached this court for a direction to the second respondent to postpone University examination on the ground that they did not get time for preparing for the examination. Their grievance is that the syllabus is not covered in the college and therefore they should atleast be given time for preparing for the examination.

2. Heard Standing Counsel for the University.

3. I do not think there is any justification for this court to interfere with the examination schedule fixed by the University based on objection filed by one or two students. The students do not generally seek postponement of the examinations and the grievance is only for one or two students.

In the circumstances, writ petition is devoid of any merit and it is dismissed.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE

jes